Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 25 2001
CLERK

No. 00-1943

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

October Term, 2000

In Re Donald Bedelle Fuller, Petitioner

On Petition for a Writ of
Certiorari to the
Minnesota Supreme Court

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

11PP

FULLER LAW OFFICES, Ltd.
Attorneys at Law

Suite 250
Fifth Street Towers
100 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Lloyd S. Myster
Don B. Fuller
Licensed in Illinois Only

July 16, 2001

Michael Hatch
Attorney General of the State of Minnesota
Capitol Building
Saint Paul, MN 55155

RE: Petition for Writ of Certiorari,
Case of Don B. Fuller,
U. S. Supreme Court Docket Number 00-1943

Dear Attorney General Hatch:

Thanks for your quick response.

When I ask you, in your response due July 28, 2001, to be forthcoming with the United States Supreme Court, what I am saying is that under ***Hoppe v. Klapperich*** and a growing body of federal law, you have higher duties to the courts than you do to fail to investigate or permit any cover-up of criminal misconduct within the Minnesota Office of Lawyer's Professional Responsibility.

It therefore seems questionable whether you can file any documents with the United States Supreme Court without informing them ***that while the Minnesota OLPR assisted Hanson that he stole more tax monies from MNREV and ramped up the unpaid federal tax amounts to what is now reported to be in excess of 1 million dollars.*** Another $950,000 disappeared, according to tapes and documents held by your clients, between the Ethics Panel Hearing in September 1997 and April, and despite repeated requests, the OLPR never reported these losses to the many, many Minnesota residents defrauded by Hanson and Imprints.

Since I have long been certified as a military judge with criminal law knowledge, both the Director and Pat Burns were informed that their actions were aiding and abetting criminal misconduct. Instead of withdrawing from Hanson/Imprints and reporting Hanson, Pat Burns threatened me while I was a FBI informant/witness.

In order to cover up, the whole process then became one of tortured treatment by Pat Burns designed to discredit me and to permit Hanson to steal more from the state and federal treasuries. The huge volume of my letters, to Washington and other places, was as the result of the continued criminal misconduct of Hanson, the unlawful 18 USC 1512 and 1513 retaliation by the OLPR and to utilize my First Amendment free speech rights to protect my client, Pam Jordan. I was also concerned that no Minnesota attorneys saw anything wrong with the large scale thefts, the deception by the MN OLPR, nor did they know of the higher duties to our sacred courts.

Even though you interpose your silence role as being justified because you are in litigation, it is questionable whether you may abrogate your duties to protect the Minnesota treasury against fraud and Minnesota citizens against financial predators, even when such fraud is facilitated by state lawyers working for the Minnesota Office of Lawyer's Professional Responsibility.

Sincerely,

Don B. Fuller

STATE OF MINNESOTA
Office of the Attorney General

MIKE HATCH
ATTORNEY GENERAL

102 STATE CAPITOL
ST. PAUL, MN 55155-1002
TELEPHONE: (651) 296-6196

July 10, 2001

Don B. Fuller
Suite 250 Fifth Street Towers
100 S. Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Dear Mr. Fuller:

I thank you for your recent correspondence.

You raise questions about a May 3, 2001 letter from two attorneys on my staff responding, on behalf of the Minnesota Lawyers Professional Responsibility Board, to your April 2001 petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. In that petition you are asking the Supreme Court to review the indefinite suspension of your Minnesota attorney license by the Minnesota Supreme Court.

As you know, this Office represents the Lawyers Professional Responsibility Board in the United States Supreme Court litigation you commenced. The May 3, 2001 letter contains the Board's response to your April petition for a writ of certiorari. In light of the role of this Office in that litigation, it would not be appropriate for me to comment on the points raised in your correspondence.

Again, thank you for your letter.

Very truly yours,

MIKE HATCH
Attorney General
State of Minnesota

MAH:agk
AG: 492390,v.01

FULLER LAW OFFICES, Ltd.
Attorneys at Law

Suite 250
Fifth Street Towers
100 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (763) 550-9554

FAX (763) 550-1095
e Mail TAXRESOLVE@AOL.com
website
irsresolve.com

Lloyd S. Myster
Don B. Fuller
Licensed in Illinois Only

July 2, 2001

The Honorable Michael Hatch
Attorney General of the State of Minnesota
Capitol Building
Saint Paul, MN 55155

RE: Petition for Writ of Certiorari,
Case of Don B. Fuller,

Dear Attorney General Hatch:

Before your office, through Kenneth Raschke, Jr. and Michele M. Owen, just summarily again informs the United States Supreme Court that it agrees with the findings of the Minnesota Supreme Court, perhaps it would be appropriate to check with your clients, the Minnesota Department of

Economic Security and the Minnesota Department of Revenue, because they have essentially said that the Hansons are the most persistent in their defrauding of the Department.

Some time ago, your own Janette K. Brimmer and Dennis Evans of MNDES got onto the Hansons. Perhaps you will find out the Hansons are again defrauding Minnesota. It does not appear truthful for your office to just say that your office agrees with the findings when in fact your office is aware of substantial persistent fraud committed by the Hansons and the law is clear, the federal law that is, that we attorneys in Chapter 11 cases must blow the whistle as Chief Judge Magnuson has made very clear in ***U S. v Dolan*** - which holding was just totally ignored by the Minnesota Supreme Court.

The Minnesota Office of Lawyer's Professional Responsibility worked very hard to keep the actual facts, some contained within your office, out of the record, and the facts and resulting record were therefore distorted. It appears that your office, knowing the actual facts, must be more truthful in its representations to the United States Supreme Court. I believe you have a duty to inform the Supreme Court that Minnesota totally ignored the federal decisions and ignored a federal attorney's duties to the United States Bankruptcy Court and to the FBI.

Very truly yours,

Don B. Fuller

Enclosures

1. Previously served Petition
2. Janette Brimmer letter of August 12, 1996
3. Raschke/Owen letter of May 3, 2001
4. Chief Judge Magnuson's 8th Circuit *Dolan* Opinion

STATE OF MINNESOTA
Office of the Attorney General

HUBERT H. HUMPHREY III
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Solicitor General Section
Suite 1100
445 Minnesota Street
St. Paul, MN 55101-2128
TELEPHONE: (612) 282-5700

August 12, 1996

Don B. Fuller, Esq.
570 Minnesota Law Center
514 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, MN 55402

BY FAX AND MAIL

Re: Imprint Technologies, Inc.

Dear Mr. Fuller:

I am writing to you regarding the status of the reorganization of Imprint Technologies, Inc. Pursuant to the Modified Amended Plan of Reorganization filed by you on behalf of the debtor on April 19, 1996, the Minnesota Department of Economic Security was to receive payments on its claim of $45,302.94, either in quarterly installments as provided in paragraph 2.5(c) or a discounted lump-sum payment of $25,000.

The Department has also advised me that the debtor is failing to meet its ongoing legal obligations to the State. The debtor has failed, despite receiving notice of such failure, to file its First Quarter, 1996 report. Interest and penalties have now accrued on the estimated amount due. For First Quarter, 1996, as of August 31, 1996, there will be

due and owing, $5,876.14 in estimated tax, $352.56 in accrued interest and $264.42 penalties for a total of **$6,493.12.** The debtor's Second Quarter, 1996 report was due on July 31, 1996. The debtor has also failed to file the Second Quarter report. Interest and penalties have not yet been calculated, but they will accrue should such failure to file and failure to pay tax continue.

The debtor's utter failure to follow through on any of its obligations to the Department of Economic Security does not bode well for the future of this reorganization. Frankly, after all the difficulties in reaching an acceptable plan, the Department expected a better effort on the part of the debtor. Please be advised that if the quarterly plan payment and the First and Second Quarter reports and amounts due thereunder are not received by the Department within ten days of the date of this letter, the Department will commence action to collect the amounts under the plan commitment and the First and Second Quarter. I urge you to encourage the debtor to bring its obligations current in order to avoid such a result.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

JANETTE K. BRIMMER
Assistant Attorney General
(612) 297-5945

cc: Kathy Dobrinski, DES
AG:36204 v1